

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO |). FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------|----------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 09/644,484 | (| 08/23/2000 | Edward E. Belfiglio | TSMI: 001 | TSMI : 001 2274 EXAMINER | |
| 29468 | 7590 | 07/12/2004 | | EXAM | | |
| C RICHA | RD MAR1 | ΓIN | DEXTER, CLARK F | | | |
| MARTIN | & MARTIN | | | | | |
| PO BOX 2 | 9 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| BOONVILLE, IN 47601 | | | | 3724 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | W |
|--|---|---|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 09/644,484 | BELFIGLIO, EDWARD E. | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Clark F. Dexter | 3724 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applicall) a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper repl h places the applica | y to a ition in |
| PERIOD FOR R | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date | te of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (a) | of extension and the corresponding amo f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The apporting the final originally set in the final | ropriate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | |
| (b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or si | mplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ling a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claim | s. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | • • • • • • • | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3724

10. Other: ____

8. The drawing correction filed on <u>28 May 2004</u> is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

It is respectfully submitted that sufficient support does not appear to be provided in the original disclosure for the specific bracket configuration as now proposed for Figure 2.